

Planning Committee

14 June 2018

Area Planning Sub Committee

3 May 2018

Appeals Performance and Decision Summaries

Summary

- 1 This report (presented to both Planning Committee and the Area Planning Sub Committee) informs Members of the Council's performance in relation to appeals determined by the Planning Inspectorate between 1 January and 31 March 2018, and provides a summary of the salient points from appeals determined in that period. A list of outstanding appeals at date of writing is also included.

Background

- 2 Appeal statistics are collated by the Planning Inspectorate on a quarterly basis. The Government propose to use the quarterly statistical returns as one of a number of measures to assess the performance of local planning authorities. To assess the quality of decisions, this will be based on the number of decisions that are subsequently overturned at appeal. The threshold whereby a Local Planning Authority is eligible for designation as under-performing is 10% of the Authority's total number of decisions on applications made during the assessment period being overturned at appeal.
- 3 The tables below include all types of appeals such as those against refusal of planning permission, against conditions of approval, listed building applications and lawful development certificates. Table 1 shows results of appeals decided by the Planning Inspectorate, for the quarter 1 January to 31 March 2018 and the corresponding quarter for 2017, Table 2 shows performance for the 12 months 1 April 2017 to 31 March 2018 and the corresponding period 2016/17.

Table 1: CYC Planning Appeals Last Quarter Performance

	01/01/18 to 31/03/18(Last Quarter)	01/01/17 to 31/03/17 (Corresponding Quarter)
Allowed	3	3
Part Allowed	0	0
Dismissed	18	6
Total Decided	21	9
% Allowed	14%	33%
% Part Allowed	-	-

Table 2: CYC Planning Appeals 12 month Performance

	01/04/17 to 31/03/18 (Last 12 months)	01/04/16 to 31/03/17 (Corresponding 12 month period)
Allowed	12	7
Part Allowed	1	3
Dismissed	39	32
Total Decided	52	42
% Allowed	23%	17%
% Part Allowed	2%	7%

Analysis

- 4 Table 1 shows that between 1 January and 31 March 2018, a total of 21 appeals were determined by the Planning Inspectorate. Of those, 3 were allowed (14%). There were no appeals relating to “major” developments during this reporting period. By comparison, for the same period 2016, out of 9 appeals 3 were allowed (33%). Using the assessment criteria set out in paragraph 2 above, 0.8% of the total decisions made in the quarter were overturned at appeal.
- 5 For the 12 months between 1 April 2017 and 31 March 2018, 23% of appeals decided were allowed, which is below to the national percentage figure of 31% of appeals allowed, but up on the previous 12 month figure. Using the assessment criteria set out in paragraph 2 above, 0.7% of the total decisions made in the 12 month period were overturned at appeal.
- 6 The summaries of appeals determined between 1 January and 31 March 2018 are included at Annex A. Details as to of whether the application was dealt with under delegated powers or by committee are included

with each summary. In the period covered one appeal was determined following a decision at sub-committee/committee.

Table 3: Appeals Decided 01/01/2018 to 31/03/2018 following Refusal by Committee / Sub-Committee

Ref No	Site	Proposal	Officer Recom.	Appeal Outcome
16/02263/ FUL	The Ridings, 95 York Rd, Dunnington	1 dwelling	Approve	Allowed

7 The list of current appeals is attached at Annex B. There are 19 planning appeals lodged with the Planning Inspectorate (excluding tree related appeals but including appeals against enforcement notices).

8 We continue to employ the following measures to ensure performance levels are maintained at around the national average or better:

i) Officers have continued to impose high standards of design and visual treatment in the assessment of applications provided it is consistent with Paragraph 56 of the NPPF and draft Development Control Local Plan Policy.

ii) Where significant planning issues are identified early with applications, revisions are sought to ensure that they can be recommended for approval, even where some applications then take more than the 8 weeks target timescale to determine. This approach is reflected in the reduction in the number appeals overall. This approach has improved customer satisfaction and speeded up the development process and, CYC planning application performance still remains above the national performance indicators for Major, Minor and Other application categories.

iii) Additional scrutiny is being afforded to appeal evidence to ensure arguments are well documented, researched and argued.

Consultation

9 This is an information report for Members and therefore no consultation has taken place regarding its content.

Council Plan

10 The report is most relevant to the “Building Stronger Communities” and “Protecting the Environment” strands of the Council Plan.

Implications

- 11 Financial – There are no financial implications directly arising from the report.
- 12 Human Resources – There are no Human Resources implications directly involved within this report and the recommendations within it other than the need to allocate officer time towards the provision of the information.
- 13 Legal – There are no known legal implications associated with this report or the recommendations within it.
- 14 There are no known Equalities, Property, Crime & Disorder or other implications associated with the recommendations within this report.

Risk Management

- 15 In compliance with the Council’s risk management strategy, there are no known risks associated with the recommendations of this report.

Recommendation

- 16 That Members note the content of this report.

Reason

- 17 To inform Members of the current position in relation to planning appeals against the Council’s decisions as determined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Contact Details

Author:

Gareth Arnold
Interim Head of
Development Services,
Directorate of Economy
and Place

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Mike Slater
Assistant Director (Planning and Public
Protection)

**Report
Approved**



Date 24.04.2018

Specialist Implications Officer(s) None.

Wards Affected:

All Y

For further information please contact the author of the report.

Annexes

**Annex A – Summaries of Appeals Determined between 1 January
and 31 May 2018**

Annex B – Outstanding Appeals at 24 April 2018